

CS107: Variables, Loops, and Type Checking

Guannan Wei

guannan.wei@tufts.edu

Sept 17, 2026

Fall 2026

Tufts University

What did we learn last time?

What did we learn last time?

- Syntax error vs semantic error
- Better error reporting
- Interpreting and compiling conditionals

```
trans(If(Cond(op, l, r), tBranch, eBranch), 0)(Map())
```

In order to compile the if statement, we are going to follow this idea:

```
...           # code for l and r
cmpq <r>, <l>
j<op> if_then # the jump operation depends on 'op'
...           # code for else branch
jmp if_end   # unconditional jump
if_then:      # label for the beginning of the then branch
...           # code for then branch
if_end:
...           # code for the rest
```

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Ifs - Example

```
trans(If(Cond("==", 1, 0), 2, 3), 0)(Map())
```

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Ifs - Example

```
trans(If(Cond("==", 1, 0), 2, 3), 0)(Map())
```

```
# begin code generated
```

```
movq $1, %rbx # generate code that compute l, stored in %rbx
```

```
movq $0, %rcx # generate code that compute r, stored in %rcx
```

```
cmpq %rcx, %rbx
```

```
je if1_then
```

```
movq $3, %rbx # generate code for eBranch, store result in %rbx
```

```
jmp if1_end
```

```
if1_then:
```

```
movq $2, %rbx # generate code for tBranch, store result in %rbx
```

```
if1_end: # end code generated
```

```
movq %rbx, %rax
```

```
ret
```

In project 2, you will implement this part!

Current Grammar

The grammar so far:

```
<op>      ::= ['+' | '-' | '*' | '/']+
<bop>      ::= '==' | '!=' | '<' | '>' | '<=' | '>='
<atom>     ::= <number>
            | <ident>
            | '('<simp>')'
            | '{<exp>}'
<uatom>    ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond>     ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp>     ::= <uatom> [<op><uatom>]*
            | 'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
<exp>      ::= <simp>
            | 'val' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
```

Quiz

Is this valid syntax?

1)

```
if (3 == 5) {  
    2  
} * 4 else 8
```

Is this valid syntax?

1)

```
if (3 == 5) {  
    2  
} * 4 else 8
```

2)

```
if (3 == 2)  
    val x = 3; x  
else  
    5
```

Quiz

Is this valid syntax?

1)

```
if (3 == 5) {  
    2  
} * 4 else 8
```

2)

```
if (3 == 2)  
    val x = 3; x  
else  
    5
```

Answer: 1) Yes 2) No: **val** x = 3; x is not a simple expression

Missing Features

- What are still missing from our language?

Missing Features

- What are still missing from our language?

- Let's add mutable variables!

```
<op>      ::= ['+' | '-' | '*' | '/']+
<bop>     ::= '==' | '!=' | '<' | '>' | '<=' | '>='
<atom>    ::= <number>
           | <ident>
           | '('<simp>')'
           | '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom>   ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond>    ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp>    ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
           | 'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
           | <ident> '=' <simp> // new
<exp>     ::= <simp>
           | 'val' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
           | 'var' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp> // new
```

Example

Example:

```
var x = 2;  
x = x * x
```

Let's Add Mutable Variables - AST

New AST nodes:

```
case class VarDec(name: String, value: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp  
case class VarAssign(name: String, value: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Semantics

We can only assign to mutable variables, i.e. declared with **var** (VarDec)

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Semantics

We can only assign to mutable variables, i.e. declared with **var** (VarDec)

```
type Value = Int
```

```
def eval(exp: Exp)(env: ValueEnv): Val = exp match  
  // previous cases omitted  
  case VarDec(x, rhs, body) =>  
    val v = eval(rhs)(env)  
    eval(body)(env.withVar(x, v))  
  case VarAssign(x, rhs) =>  
    val v = eval(rhs)(env)  
    env.updateVar(x, v)
```

- What would be the value of assignment?
 - Option 1: Unit
 - Option 2: The assigned value

Let's Add Loops - Syntax

- Let's then add loops!

Let's Add Loops - Syntax

- Let's then add loops!

```
<op>      ::= ['+' | '-' | '*' | '/']+
<bop>     ::= '==' | '!=' | '<' | '>' | '<=' | '>='
<atom>    ::= <number>
           | <ident>
           | '('<simp>')'
           | '{<exp>}'
<uatom>   ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond>    ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp>    ::= <uatom> [<op><uatom>]*
           | 'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
           | <ident> '=' <simp>
<exp>     ::= <simp>
           | 'val' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
           | 'var' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
           | 'while' '(' <cond> ')' <simp> ';' <exp> // new
```

Let's Add Loops - AST

```
// Already defined  
case class Cond(op: String, lop: Exp, rop: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Loops - AST

// Already defined

```
case class Cond(op: String, lop: Exp, rop: Exp) extends Exp
```

// New definition

```
case class While(cond: Cond, lbody: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Loops - Semantics

- Implementing **while** in the interpreter using Scala's **while**:

```
type Value = Int
```

```
def eval(exp: Exp)(env: ValueEnv): Val = exp match  
  // previous cases omitted  
  case While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body) =>  
    while (evalCond(op)(eval(l)(env), eval(r)(env))) {  
      eval(lbody)(env)  
    }  
    eval(body)(env)
```

- Note that the **ValueEnv** is mutable, so changes in the loop body persist.

x86 Flags And Jump

Recap: how to compile conditionals?

```
trans(If(Cond("==", 1, 0), 2, 3), 0)(Map())
```

```
# begin code generated
```

```
movq $1, %rbx # generate code that compute l, stored in %rbx
```

```
movq $0, %rcx # generate code that compute r, stored in %rcx
```

```
cmpq %rcx, %rbx
```

```
je if1_then
```

```
movq $3, %rbx # generate code for eBranch, store result in %rbx
```

```
jmp if1_end
```

```
if1_then:
```

```
movq $2, %rbx # generate code for tBranch, store result in %rbx
```

```
if1_end: # end code generated
```

```
movq %rbx, %rax
```

```
ret
```

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Loops

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
```

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Loops

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
```

In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:

```
    jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
    ...                # code for lbody
loop_cond:
    ...                # code for l and r
    cmpq <r>, <l>
    j<op> loop_body    # the jump operation depends on 'op'
    ...                # code for body
```

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Loops

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
```

In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:

```
    jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
    ...                # code for lbody
loop_cond:
    ...                # code for l and r
    cmpq <r>, <l>
    j<op> loop_body    # the jump operation depends on 'op'
    ...                # code for body
```

How would we compile a do-while loop?

x86 Flags And Jump - Compile Loops

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
```

In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:

```
    jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
    ...                # code for lbody
loop_cond:
    ...                # code for l and r
    cmpq <r>, <l>
    j<op> loop_body    # the jump operation depends on 'op'
    ...                # code for body
```

How would we compile a do-while loop?

Answer: omit the unconditional jump

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice Code!

```
var x = 2;  
var y = 0;  
while (y < 5) {  
    x = x * x;  
    y = y + 1  
};  
x
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice Code!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
    x = x * x;
    y = y + 1
};
x
```

Can we really?

```
<atom> ::= <number> | <ident> | '('<simp>')' | '{<exp>}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<simp> ::= <uatom> [<op><uatom>]*
          | 'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
          | <ident> '=' <simp>
<exp> ::= <simp>
         | 'val' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
         | 'var' <ident> '=' <simp> ';' <exp>
         | 'while' '(' <cond> ')' <simp> ';' <exp>
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice-ish Code!!

What has to be written is actually:

```
var x = 2;  
var y = 0;  
while (y < 5) {  
    val dummy = x = x * x;  
    y = y + 1  
};  
x
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice-ish Code!!

What has to be written is actually:

```
var x = 2;  
var y = 0;  
while (y < 5) {  
    val dummy = x = x * x;  
    y = y + 1  
};  
x
```

- Let's modify our grammar slightly instead!

Grammar - Syntactic Sugar

```
<op>      ::= ['+' | '-' | '*' | '/']+
<bop>     ::= '==' | '!=' | '<' | '>' | '<=' | '>='
<atom>    ::= <number>
           | <ident>
           | '('<simp>')'
           | '{<exp>}'
<uatom>   ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond>    ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp>    ::= <uatom> [<op><uatom>]*
           | 'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> ['else' <simp>]
           | <ident> '=' <simp>
<exp>     ::= <simp>[';'<exp>]
           | 'val' <ident> '=' <simp>';'<exp>
           | 'var' <ident> '=' <simp>';'<exp>
           | 'while' '('<cond>')' <simp>';'<exp>
```

What have been changed?

Do we need to also adapt our AST definitions?

Do we need to also adapt our AST definitions?

- Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing core constructs.
- Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience.

Do we need to also adapt our AST definitions?

- Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing core constructs.
- Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience.

```
x = x + 1;  
y = y + 1
```

Do we need to also adapt our AST definitions?

- Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing core constructs.
- Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience.

```
x = x + 1;  
y = y + 1
```

rather than

```
val dummy = x = x + 1;  
y = y + 1
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
y
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
y
```

now can be written as

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
y
```

now can be written as

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

What is the type of this if expression?

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
y
```

now can be written as

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

What is the type of this if expression?

We cannot always meaningfully synthesize a value for the else-branch. So we introduce a unit type and its sole value ().

AST of Sugared Expressions

```
x = x + 1;  
y = y + 1
```

AST of Sugared Expressions

```
x = x + 1;  
y = y + 1
```

Parser produces:

```
Let("tmp$1",  
    VarAssign("x", Prim("+", Ref("x"), Lit(1)))  
    VarAssign("y", Prim("+", Ref("y"), Lit(1)))  
)
```

AST of Sugared Expressions

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

AST of Sugared Expressions

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

Parser produces:

```
Let("tmp$1",
  If(Cond(">", Ref("x"), Lit(0)),
    VarAssign("x", Prim("-", Ref("x"), Lit(1))),
    Lit(())),
  Let("y", Prim("*", Ref("x"), Lit(5)),
    Ref("x")))
```

AST of Sugared Expressions

```
if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

Parser produces:

```
Let("tmp$1",
  If(Cond(">", Ref("x"), Lit(0)),
    VarAssign("x", Prim("-", Ref("x"), Lit(1))),
    Lit(())),
  Let("y", Prim("*", Ref("x"), Lit(5)),
    Ref("x")))
```

You will implement parsing with syntactic sugars in Project 3.

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

- A set of values: e.g. true, false

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

- A set of values: e.g. true, false
- A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, ...

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

- A set of values: e.g. true, false
- A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, ...

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

- A set of values: e.g. true, false
- A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, ...

Why do we need types?

- Help structure and understand a program

Introducing Type Systems

- So far we talked about syntax and dynamic semantics (specified as interpretation or translation).
- Languages typically have a static semantics, often specified as a type system.

What is a type?

- A set of values: e.g. true, false
- A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, ...

Why do we need types?

- Help structure and understand a program
- Can prevent some kinds of errors or undefined behaviors

Our Grammar, Typed

```
<op>      ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<type>    ::= <ident>                                // new
<bool>    ::= 'true' | 'false'
<atom>    ::= <number> | <bool> | '()'                // new
            | <ident>
            | '('<simp>')'
            | '{<exp>}'
<uatom>   ::= [<op>]<atom>
<simp>    ::= <uatom> [<op><uatom>]*
            | 'if' '('<simp>')' <simp> ['else' <simp>]
            | <ident> '=' <simp>
<exp>     ::= <simp> [';'<exp>]
            | 'val' <ident> [':' <type>] '=' <simp> ';'<exp> // optional type
            | 'var' <ident> [':' <type>] '=' <simp> ';'<exp> // optional type
            | 'while' '(' <simp> ')' <simp> ';'<exp>
```

Example

```
var x: Int = 2;  
val y: Int = 0;  
x = y
```

Our AST, Typed

First, we modify our AST to handle the new grammar:

```
abstract class Type
// Definition later

case class Lit(x: Any) extends Exp
case class Let(name: String, tp: Type, v: Exp, b: Exp) extends Exp
case class VarDec(name: String, tp: Type, v: Exp, b: Exp) extends Exp
case class If(cond: Exp, tBranch: Exp, eBranch: Exp) extends Exp
case class While(cond: Exp, lbody: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp
```

Typing judgments: we write

$$\Gamma \vdash e : T$$

to assert that in the environment Γ , the expression e is of type T .

Typing judgments: we write

$$\Gamma \vdash e : T$$

to assert that in the environment Γ , the expression e is of type T .

- Γ is the **typing environment**: It stores knowledge about identifiers available at compile time, as a finite mapping from identifiers to types. Grammar:

$$\Gamma ::= \emptyset \mid \Gamma, id:T$$

- We write $\emptyset$ for the empty typing environment, and
- $\Gamma, id : T$ to extend the typing environment Γ with a new mapping from id to type T .