



Precise Reasoning with Structured Heaps and Collective Operations *à la* Map/Reduce



Gregory ESSERT, Guannan WEI, Tiark ROMPF
Department of Computer Science, Purdue University

Motivating Example

```
ListNode x = null; int i = 0
while (i < n) {
  ListNode y = new ListNode()
  y.tail = x
  y.head = i
  x = y
  i = i + 1
}
```

```
ListNode z = x; int sum = 0
while (z != null) {
  sum = sum + z.head
  z = z.tail
}
```

```
assert(sum == n*(n-1)/2)
```

Many techniques failed to verify such properties in imperative programs with loops and dynamic allocations.

Because:

- Program abstractions are usually low-level *scalars*, rather than collections. e.g., a linked list contains natural numbers from 0 to n-1.
- Program abstractions lose the information of *time*. e.g., values at different loop iterations (loop context) are not distinguished.

DSL Can Help!

- We borrow ideas from Domain Specific Languages (DSLs):
 - Translate low-level imperative program **IMP** to high level functional program **FUN** with preserved semantics.
 - IMP** → **FUN**
- Introduce first-class *collective forms*:
 - The loop iteration index become an argument of the collective form instead of a free variable, thus the collective form is closed.
 - The value of collective form represents the value at the i_{th} loop. e.g., $\lambda(i).if (i > 0) then sum(i-1)+j(i-1) else 0$
- For the purpose of analyzing programs, we perform rule-based rewriting to obtain simplified collective forms.
- A simple arithmetic example:

```
IMP:
int j = 0, sum = 0
while (j < k) {
  sum = sum + j
  j = j + 1
}

FUN:
let j = λ(i).i + 1
let sum = λ(i).(i+1)*i/2

STORE:
j → k
sum → (k - 1) * k / 2
```

Memory Allocations? Not a Problem

Consider the first loop in the motivating example:

- x and y is translated to address of object *ctx*. The object is tagged with the context (a unique program textual location), and indexed by the loop variable *i*.
- *newArray* is a primitive in language **FUN** that used to create arrays.
- The store represents the program state after running the first loop with *n* times.
- Then the second loop can use the store by dereferencing an address.
- \perp is uninitialized data.

```
ctx = root.snd.snd.while[i].fst
FUN:
let y = λ(i).if (i>0) then &new:ctx[i]
  else &new:ctx[0]
let x = λ(i).if (i>0) then &new:ctx[i]
  else &new:ctx[0]
let ctx = λ(i).
  newArray(i2 < i).
  [ head -> i2,
    tail -> if (i2>0) then &new:ctx[i2-1]
  else null ]
STORE:
x → if (n>0) then &new:ctx[n-1] else null
y → if (n>0) then &new:ctx[n-1] else ⊥
ctx → if (n>0) then newArray(i < n).
  [ head -> i,
    tail -> if (i > 0) then
      &new:ctx[i-1] else null ]
else ⊥
```

Evaluation

- We implement a prototypical tool SIGMA for a subset of C.
- Evaluate against with CPAChecker and SeaHorn on SV-COMP benchmarks with loops. (Cells in red are incorrect result.)

Name	CPAChecker	SeaHorn	SIGMA
simple_built_from_end_true-unreach-call.i	TIMEOUT	250	273
list_addnat_false-unreach-call.i	2890	190	215
list_addnat_true-unreach-call.i	305560	170	215
loop_addnat_false-unreach-call.i	2830	190	285
loop_addnat_true-unreach-call.i	TIMEOUT	200	285
loop_addsubnat_false-unreach-call.i	3140	210	364
loop_addsubnat_true-unreach-call.i	TIMEOUT	230	364
nestedloop_mul1_true-unreach-call.i	OUT OF MEMORY	7280	405
nestedloop_mul2_true-unreach-call.i	TIMEOUT	240	365