

# Polymorphic Reachability Types: Tracking Freshness, Aliasing, and Separation in Higher-Order Generic Programs (Appendix)

GUANNAN WEI, Purdue University, USA

OLIVER BRAČEVAC\*, Purdue University, USA and Galois, Inc., USA

SONGLIN JIA, Purdue University, USA

YUYAN BAO, Augusta University, USA

TIARK ROMPF, Purdue University, USA

## A REVISITING $\lambda^*$ AND ITS LIMITATIONS

This section gives an overview of the  $\lambda^*$ -calculus [Bao et al. 2021] and analyzes its limitations in reachability polymorphism. Table 1 summarizes the key differences between the  $\lambda^*$  system and our new system, as well as highlights the main improvements made in the main paper [Wei et al. 2024].

### A.1 Fresh and Untrack Qualifier

Just like the  $\lambda^*/F_{\leq}^*$ -calculus in the main paper, the  $\lambda^*$  type system qualifies types with reachability sets, tracking the variables in the current environment that may be reached by following memory references from the result of an expression.

However,  $\lambda^*$  treats fresh/untrack resources different from the main paper. For example, consider an `alloc()` function that yields a new resource of fixed type  $\tau$  (e.g., a file handle). The  $\lambda^*$  system assigns the empty set `alloc():  $\tau^\emptyset$`  as the qualifier, indicating that it returns a *fresh* value and cannot reach any variables in the current environment. When bound to a variable  $x$ , an invocation of `alloc()` is not considered fresh anymore as  $x$  reaches  $x$  itself:

```
val x = alloc() // :  $\tau^{\{x\}}$ 
```

The  $\lambda^*$  system assigns the bottom qualifier  $\perp$  (often omitted) to untracked values. These usually include base types, e.g., `42:  $\text{Int}^\perp$` . Untracked values can be treated as tracked by subtyping, but not vice versa, i.e.,  $\perp <: \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \not<: \perp$ .

### A.2 Reachability Polymorphism

$\lambda^*$  provides a *lightweight* form of reachability polymorphism via dependent applications, e.g., the `id` function whose return type qualifier depends on the argument:

```
def id(x:  $\tau^\emptyset$ ):  $\tau^{\{x\}}$  = x // : ((x:  $\tau^\emptyset$ ) =>  $\tau^{\{x\}}$ ) $^\emptyset$   
val x:  $\tau^{\{x,a,b\}}$  = ...; id(x) // :  $\tau^{\{x\}[x \rightarrow \{x,a,b\}]}$  =  $\tau^{\{x,a,b\}}$   
val y:  $\tau^{\{y,z\}}$  = ...; id(y) // :  $\tau^{\{x\}[x \rightarrow \{y,z\}]}$  =  $\tau^{\{y,z\}}$ 
```

The type of `id` mentions no explicit quantifiers, and yet can be regarded as polymorphic over a fixed base type  $\tau$  with any reachability qualifier  $q$ , as long as  $q$  is disjoint from `id`'s reachability set.

\*Work completed while at Purdue University

---

Authors' addresses: Guannan Wei, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, guannanwei@purdue.edu; Oliver Bračevac, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA and Galois, Inc., Portland, OR, USA, oliver@galois.com; Songlin Jia, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, jia137@purdue.edu; Yuyan Bao, Augusta University, USA, yubao@augusta.edu; Tiark Rompf, Purdue University, USA, tiark@purdue.edu.

---

2024. ACM 2475-1421/2024/1-ART1  
<https://doi.org/>

Table 1. Overview and comparison of  $\lambda^*$  and this work. “–” indicates there is no equivalent notion in the system. The `id` function is the polymorphic identity function as defined in the respective system. We use “MP” to stand for the main paper.

	$\lambda^*$ [Bao et al. 2021]	$\lambda^*/F_{<}^*$ (this work)
<b>Untracked</b>	$T^\perp$	$T^\emptyset$
Primitive/atomic values	<code>val x = 42 // : Int<sup>⊥</sup></code>	<code>val x = 42 // : Int<sup>∅</sup></code>
<b>Reachability Assignment</b>	Reflexive & transitive	One-step by default, transitive on demand (Sec. 3.1.1 in MP)
Transitive closure vs. immediate reachability	<code>val z = x // z : T<sup>{z,x,...}</sup></code>	<code>val z = x // z : T<sup>{z}</sup></code>
<b>Fresh and Tracked</b>	$T^\emptyset$	$T^{\{\star,\dots\}}$ (Sec. 3.1.2 in MP)
Tracked but unbound in the context	<code>alloc() : T<sup>∅</sup></code>	<code>alloc() : T<sup>⋆</sup></code>
<b>Reachability Polymorphism</b>	Non-parametric & imprecise (Sec. A.3)	Parametric & precise (Sec. 3.1.3 in MP)
Functions preserving reachability that depends on arguments	<code>id(42) : Int<sup>∅</sup></code> <code>id(alloc()) : Int<sup>∅</sup></code>	<code>id(42) : Int<sup>∅</sup></code> <code>id(alloc()) : Int<sup>⋆</sup></code>
<b>Qualifier Subtyping</b>	Set inclusion	Context dependent (Sec. 3.1.4 in MP)
How qualifiers can be upcast	$T^{q_1} <: T^{q_2}$ if $q_1 \subseteq q_2$	$\Gamma = x : T^\emptyset, y : T^\star$ $\Gamma \vdash T^{(x)} <: T^\emptyset$ $\Gamma \vdash T^{(y)} \not<: T^\star$
<b>“Maybe” Tracked</b>	–	$T^q$ if $\diamond \notin q$ (Sec. 3.1.4 in MP)
Variable-dependent tracking status	–	$\Gamma \vdash T^{(x)} \equiv T^\emptyset$
<b>Transitive Reachability</b>	Always saturated	On-demand when checking overlap (Sec 3.1.5 in MP)
When transitive closure is used	–	–
<b>Qualifier-Dependent Application</b>	Shallow	Deep (Sec. 3.1.6 in MP)
Permitted argument dependency in the return type	$(x : T^q) \rightarrow S^p$ $x \notin \text{fv}(S)$	$(x : T^q) \rightarrow S^p$ $x \in \text{fv}(S)$ if $\diamond \notin q$
<b>Type Abstraction</b>	–	Bounded abstraction à la $F_{<}$ : $\forall X <: T.S^p$ (Sec. 3.2 in MP)
Quantification over types	–	–
<b>Reachability Abstraction</b>	–	Bounded abstraction à la $F_{<}^*$ : $\forall X^x <: T^q.S^p$ (Sec. 3.2 in MP)
Quantification over reachability	–	–
<b>Mutable References</b>	Only flat & untracked	Possibly nested & tracked
Values stored in references	<code>Ref[T<sup>⊥</sup>]</code>	<code>Ref[T<sup>q</sup>]</code> (Sec. 7.1 in MP)

Since `id` itself has an empty qualifier, any  $q$  is acceptable. We can apply `id` with an argument with non-fresh tracked qualifiers, and the result precisely preserves the reachability by substituting  $x$  in the return qualifier with the actual argument qualifier.

### A.3 The Root of the Problem: Confusing Untracked with Fresh Values

The problem with reachability polymorphism in  $\lambda^*$  is its non-parametric treatment of untracked versus tracked arguments, e.g., the `id` function conflates these two different instantiations:

```

val z = ... // : T⊥ ← z is untracked
id(z) // : T{x}[x↦⊥] = T∅ ← untracked value now considered tracked
id(alloc()) // : T{x}[x↦∅] = T∅

```

Qualifier substitution with the untracked status yields  $\{x\}[x \mapsto \perp] = \emptyset$  a tracked qualifier without known aliases (i.e., fresh). Bao et al. (Section 3.4) made this design choice to ensure soundness, but it introduces imprecision in tracking status and constitutes a severe limitation in expressiveness. No code path can be generic with respect to the tracking status of arguments! To see why admitting a more precise qualifier  $T^\perp$  for `id(z)` is unsound, we can postulate this “more precise” behavior (i.e., assuming  $\{x\}[x \mapsto \perp] = \perp$ ) and subvert the type system. Consider the function `fakeid` returning a fresh tracked value each time:

```
def fakeid(x: T⊙): T{x} = alloc()
```

This function typechecks since the body expression has type  $T^{\ominus}$ , which is a subtype of the declared return type  $T^{\{x\}}$ . Under the postulate, applying `fakeid` with a non-tracking arguments results in

```
val y = ...           // : T⊥
fakeid(y)             // : T{x}[x→⊥] = T⊥ ← unsound!
```

But `fakeid(y)` actually returns a fresh value of qualifier  $\emptyset$  that should never be down-cast to untracked! This violates the *separation guarantee* of the type system: a tracked value cannot escape as an untracked value. Otherwise, it can no longer be kept separate from other tracked values.

To summarize, reachability polymorphism via dependent application in  $\lambda^*$  must sacrifice parametricity and precision for soundness, leading to a confusion of untracked with fresh values. There is no easy fix with the binary track/untrack distinction, and we must rethink reachability polymorphism and the notion of freshness.

## B TYPING POLYMORPHIC DATA STRUCTURES

In this section, we discuss typing common polymorphic data types in  $F_{\leq}^{\blacklozenge}$ . Here we present them as built-in language constructs for clarity. However, they can be encoded in the  $F_{\leq}^{\blacklozenge}$ -calculus as well, in similar ways as exemplified in Section 8.1 of the main paper. All data types come with self-references (*i.e.*,  $z$  in  $\mu z.$ Box[ $Q$ ]), so that qualifiers in  $Q$  can refer to the instance itself by name  $z$ . Similar to function self-references, they admit Q-TSELF for subtyping (generalizing Q-SELF in Fig. 5 of the main paper, which only works for function’s self-references), thus allowing the introduction and elimination of self-references.

$$\frac{z : \mu z.T^q \in \Gamma \quad \blacklozenge \notin q}{\Gamma \vdash q, z <: z} \quad (\text{Q-TSELF})$$

These data structures have standard dynamic semantics (*e.g.* tagging runtime values), thus are omitted.

### B.1 Boxes

We start from box types, which is the simplest polymorphic data type. It comes with two qualifiers, one for its content and one for the box itself. Creating a box with a non-fresh value results in the same inner and outer qualifier. When creating a box with a fresh value, the inner qualifier is the box itself  $z$  (T-BOX). This is necessary to maintain sharing when eliminating multiple times.

Getting the content of a box value yields the qualifier that replaces the self-reference  $z$  with its its own outer qualifier (T-BOX-GET). Furthermore, the content types of boxes are covariant (SQ-BOX), so when a variable name goes out of its binding scope, the inner qualifier can be upcast to  $z$  by Q-TSELF as if it was created over a fresh value.

### B.2 Pairs

Pairs follow the same pattern with boxes, with an additional field, and thus type argument and elimination function. Note that pairs over non-fresh values correspond to the “transparent” pairs presented in Section 8 of the main paper, while those over fresh values correspond to the “opaque” pairs. The two variants also connect via subtyping, as component types in pairs are covariant.

### B.3 Options

Option types are similar to boxes, which can optionally hold no value. Since there are two variant values of option types, applying `get` to values of type `option` can result in an exception if the

<b>Syntax</b>		$F_{<}^\diamond$
	$ \begin{array}{ll} T & ::= \dots \mid \mu z. \text{Box}[Q] & \text{Types} \\ t & ::= \dots \mid \text{Box}(t) \mid \text{get}(t) & \text{Terms} \end{array} $	
<b>Term Typing</b>		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q$
	$ \frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : T^q \quad q' = \text{if } \diamond \in q \text{ then } z \text{ else } q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Box}(t) : \mu z. \text{Box}[T^{q'}]^q} \quad (\text{T-BOX}) $	
	$ \frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{Box}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{get}(t) : T^{q_1[q/z]}} \quad (\text{T-BOX-GET}) $	
<b>Subtyping</b>		$\Gamma \vdash Q <: Q$
	$ \frac{\Gamma, z : \mu z. \text{Box}[Q_1]^q \vdash Q_1 <: Q_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mu z. \text{Box}[Q_1]^q <: \mu z. \text{Box}[Q_2]^q} \quad (\text{SQ-BOX}) $	
Fig. 1. Extension: Box types.		

<b>Syntax</b>		$F_{<}^\diamond$
	$ \begin{array}{ll} T & ::= \dots \mid \mu z. \text{Pair}[Q_1, Q_2] & \text{Types} \\ t & ::= \dots \mid \text{Pair}(t_1, t_2) \mid \text{fst}(t) \mid \text{snd}(t) & \text{Terms} \end{array} $	
<b>Term Typing</b>		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q$
	$ \frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 : T_1^{q_1} \quad q'_1 = \text{if } \diamond \in q_1 \text{ then } z \text{ else } q_1 \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_2 : T_2^{q_2} \quad q'_2 = \text{if } \diamond \in q_2 \text{ then } z \text{ else } q_2}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Pair}(t_1, t_2) : \mu z. \text{Pair}[T_1^{q'_1}, T_2^{q'_2}]^{q_1, q_2}} \quad (\text{T-PAIR}) $	
	$ \frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{Pair}[T_1^{q_1}, T_2^{q_2}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{fst}(t) : T_1^{q_1[q/z]}} \quad (\text{T-FST}) $	
	$ \frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{Pair}[T_1^{q_1}, T_2^{q_2}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{snd}(t) : T_2^{q_2[q/z]}} \quad (\text{T-SND}) $	
<b>Subtyping</b>		$\Gamma \vdash Q <: Q$
	$ \frac{\Gamma, z : \mu z. \text{Pair}[Q_1, R_1]^q \vdash Q_1 <: Q_2 \quad \Gamma, z : \mu z. \text{Pair}[Q_1, R_1]^q \vdash R_1 <: R_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mu z. \text{Pair}[Q_1, R_1]^q <: \mu z. \text{Pair}[Q_2, R_2]^q} \quad (\text{SQ-PAIR}) $	
Fig. 2. Extension: Pair types.		

<b>Syntax</b>		$F_{<}^{\diamond}$
	$T ::= \dots \mid \perp \mid \mu z. \text{Option}[Q]$ $t ::= \dots \mid \text{Some}(t) \mid \text{None} \mid \text{isEmpty}(t) \mid \text{get}(t)$	Types Terms
<b>Term Typing</b>		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q$
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : T^{q'} \quad q' = \text{if } \diamond \in q \text{ then } z \text{ else } q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Some}(t) : \mu z. \text{Option}[T^{q'}]^q}$	(T-SOME)
	$\overline{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{None} : \mu z. \text{Option}[\perp]^\varnothing}$	(T-NONE)
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{Option}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{isEmpty}(t) : \text{Bool}^\varnothing}$	(T-OPTION-TEST)
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{Option}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{get}(t) : T^{q_1[q/z]}}$	(T-OPTION-GET)
<b>Subtyping</b>		$\Gamma \vdash T <: T$ $\Gamma \vdash Q <: Q$
	$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \perp <: T}$	(S-BOT)
	$\frac{\Gamma, z : \mu z. \text{Option}[Q_1]^q \vdash Q_1 <: Q_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mu z. \text{Option}[Q_1]^q <: \mu z. \text{Option}[Q_2]^q}$	(SQ-OPTION)
Fig. 3. Extension: Option type.		

underlying is `None`. The predicate `isEmpty` is added to safely use `get` with runtime guards. Moreover, to construct the `None` case, we introduce the bottom type  $\perp$ , which is a subtype of all types. The content type of options are also covariant.

## B.4 Lists

Lists further extend options in a recursive manner. The `Cons` constructor takes a value and a `List` of the same type. The qualifier of the result is then the union of both the head and tail qualifier, modulo the adaption for self-references. The `hd` eliminator follows the same pattern for retrieving content, while the `tl` eliminator returns the same `List` type unchanged. Note that given a well-typed list of type  $\text{List}[T^q]$ , its inner qualifier  $q$  subsumes all element qualifiers.

## B.5 Example: Non-Overlapping Lists

Here we showcase how to interact with our polymorphic recursive data type, *i.e.*, `List`. The function `makeList` below recursively creates a fresh list of `Ref[Int]`. By `T-CONS`, we keep the inner qualifier of its return type to self-reference  $z$ , and the outer qualifier to freshness. By creating two lists `c1` and `c2`, we also unpack the self-references of lists to their concrete bindings.

```
def makeList(n: Int):  $\mu z. \text{List}[\text{Ref}[\text{Int}]^z]^{\diamond} =$ 
  if (n == 0) Nil else Cons(new Ref(n), makeList(n - 1))
```

<b>Syntax</b>		$F_{<}^{\blacklozenge}$
	$T ::= \dots \mid \mu z. \text{List}[Q]$ $t ::= \dots \mid \text{Cons}(t_1, t_2) \mid \text{Nil} \mid \text{isEmpty}(t) \mid \text{hd}(t) \mid \text{tl}(t)$	Types Terms
<b>Term Typing</b>		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q$
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 : T^{q_1} \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_2 : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q_2}]^{q_3} \quad q'_1 = \text{if } \blacklozenge \in q_1 \text{ then } z \text{ else } q_1}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Cons}(t_1, t_2) : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q'_1, q_2}]^{q_1, q_3}}$	(T-CONS)
	$\frac{}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Nil} : \mu z. \text{List}[\perp^{\varnothing}]^{\varnothing}}$	(T-NIL)
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{isEmpty}(t) : \text{Bool}^{\varnothing}}$	(T-LIST-TEST)
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{hd}(t) : T^{q_1[q/z]}}$	(T-HEAD)
	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q_1}]^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{tl}(t) : \mu z. \text{List}[T^{q_1}]^q}$	(T-TAIL)
<b>Subtyping</b>		$\Gamma \vdash Q <: Q$
	$\frac{\Gamma, z : \mu z. \text{List}[Q_1]^q \vdash Q_1 <: Q_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mu z. \text{List}[Q_1]^q <: \mu z. \text{List}[Q_2]^q}$	(SQ-LIST)

Fig. 4. Extension: Lists.

```

val c1 = makeList(10); // : List[Ref[Int]c1]c1 + c1: μz.List[Ref[Int]z]♦
val c2 = makeList(20); // : List[Ref[Int]c2]c2 + c2: μz.List[Ref[Int]z]♦

```

We then process the two lists in parallel using the parallel combinator `par` (Section 2.1 in the main paper), which takes two separate thunks. The `parProc` function takes two lists argument `xs` and `ys`, which are required to be separate. Since the curried function `parProc` takes `xs` as the first argument, the remainder function (taking `ys`) already captures `xs`, and thus the freshness marker on `ys` signifies its separation from precisely `xs`.

```

// def par(a: (() => Unit)♦)(b: (() => Unit)♦): Unit
def parProc(xs: μz.List[Ref[Int]z]♦)(ys: μz.List[Ref[Int]z]♦): Unit =
  par { foreach(xs) { x := !x + 1 } }
    { foreach(ys) { y := !y + 1 } }
parProc(c1)(c2) // ok
parProc(c1)(c1) // type error

```

**REFERENCES**

- Yuyan Bao, Guannan Wei, Oliver Bračevac, Yuxuan Jiang, Qiyang He, and Tiark Rompf. 2021. Reachability types: tracking aliasing and separation in higher-order functional programs. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 5, OOPSLA (2021), 1–32.
- Guannan Wei, Oliver Bračevac, Songlin Jia, Yuyan Bao, and Tiark Rompf. 2024. Polymorphic reachability types: Tracking freshness, aliasing, and separation in higher-order generic programs. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 8, POPL (2024), 393–424.